### Student Union Assembly

### Tuesday, 11/19/2013

Meeting called to Order at 8:04PM by Shaz "Tigger" Umer (Chair)

<u>Assembly Members Present:</u> Shaz Umer (Chair), Max Hufft (IVC), Tony Milgram (EVC), Charlsie Chang (CoD), Vanessa Morales (CoAA), Ivan Medina (OD), Hana Zeit (Cowell), Sarah Mudgridge (Cowell Alternate), Edmond Yaghouban (Stevenson), Samantha Sobol (Stevenson), David Pickard IV (Stevenson), Corbin Hall (Crown), Iris Mejia (Crown), Priscila Rodriguez (Merrill), Alexandra Kasper (Merrill), Maria Garcia (Merrill), Gul Taneri (Kresge), Sergio Alva (Porter), Max Winter (Porter), Art Motta (Porter), Chris Weast (Oakes), Alfonso Quintero (Oakes), Yajaira Chavez (Oakes Alternate), Elaine Wong (College 8), Ivette Torres (College 8), Miguel Onate (College 8), Rachel Kirkwood (College 9), Gregory Baraghimian (College 9), Sam Shaw (College 9), Leslie Gonzalez (College 10), Nabeil Lemar (College 10), Steven Hernandez (College 10), Angelica Montes (MEChA), Sam Simpson (QSU), Louise Cabansay (LL), Tem Velasquez Ysmael (Recording Clerk)

<u>Assembly Members Absent:</u> Linh Ngo (Cowell), Nicolette Johnson (Cowell), "D" Patel (Crown), Aimee Wade (Kresge), Arnulfo Vasquez (Kresge), Victoria York (Oakes), Roshni Advani (College 8)

# Approval of the Agenda

Art: After meeting of previous minutes, I move to add reading October 22<sup>nd</sup> minutes.

Ivan: Second.

Shaz: There is a motion to redeem October 22<sup>nd</sup>'s minutes. Motion passes.

Ivan: I **motion** to add 5 minutes SoCC announcement and 5 minutes after that in New Business to talk about the resolutions passed and moving forward with those resolutions.

## Tony: Second.

Shaz: There is a motion, are there any objections? Motion passes.

Tony: **Motion** to approve agenda.

Max: Second.

Shaz: Is there objections to the motion? Motion passes, we will move on.

# Reading of the Previous Meetings' Minutes

Steven: Motion to change myself to absent last week.

Leslie: Second.

Ghandi: Change to Tuesday the 12<sup>th</sup>, not the 13<sup>th</sup>.

Shaz: Is there an approval?

Rachel: Motion to approve the minutes.

Greg: Second.

Shaz: Motion passes, these minutes are approved.

Shaz: These are the minutes from October 22<sup>nd</sup> and the reason being is because we ran out of time and moved on. Are there any motions?

Max: Motion to approve minutes.

Art: Second.

Shaz: Motion passes, these minutes are now approved.

# **SOFA Recommendations**

Shaz: SOFA is the committee that makes recommendations from the RSO pot. Unfortunately, I made a mistake at the meeting. I noticed that we really have \$14,000 instead of the \$12,000. I noticed this after Wednesday's meeting so I made a change on the agenda. If any assembly member wants to bring up anything in the discussion, they can. For the next 5 minutes, Archie you have the floor.

Archie: I'm going to briefly summarize what happened last Wednesday. We had six organizations came and asked for funding and presented. We approved a total of \$11,020. We will start with KASA. They requested \$800 total. At the end we funded them \$200 because they haven't really asked the other colleges or funding sources. We felt like it was a good amount since they haven't asked anywhere yet. The Scientific Slugs requested \$3,000 for their magazines. There was a lot of discussion about how they didn't ask other colleges. Their budgets seemed unprepared and were using last year's numbers. NSBE; we weren't ready to fund them the full amount. We decided to fund that \$1620 because that was the membership fee. The ABC, we were comfortable funding them \$7,000 because it started here at UCSC and now it's coming back. There will be more than 500 people. There are many famous speakers which is why their budget is high. They are only requesting a small amount of what their total budget is. For JSU,

we felt like it was good that we are giving them \$750. We felt like I was good to give them this amount for the students that can't go home. CSA were requesting \$9,252. We didn't feel that comfortable the full amount or even that much because when they presented, they weren't really sure about their facts. They want to sell tickets to their event. Part of the ticket amount is going to charity and we asked them what charity and they didn't know. A rep asked if their utensils will be recycled, and they weren't really sure about that either. We decided to give them the partial amount.

Shaz: Before I take any clarifying questions, is there were any SOFA reps that want to speak? I'll give you some time now. We will go through funding requests. Around 9:45PM, we will go back into discussion.

Alfonso: So if want to change a funding request now, we can ask?

Shaz: You can say "I want to take it off the concurrent schedule" and we can go into discussion.

Charlsie: Can we talk about the ABC conference?

Ivan: I just have a suggestion moving forward with the suggestions. I notice that there were a lot of negatives in the funding. Moving forward, you can say "the reason why they were funded", it seems like it's calling out of the organization. I would appreciate it if we "funded" because we want to prioritize certain things in the proposal. I think it is me for semantic purposes. It is a lot more positive.

Archie: I was saying the negative parts for context.

Shaz: When we did the discussion, it was a holistic approach. I'll talk to Archie about presentation style.

Vanessa: Yield.

Alfonso: After our discussion at Oakes, we feel like we should fund ABC to its full amount.

Shaz: Even though I shouldn't take a stance, after our talk with SOFA; we want to see them full funded.

Sam: The negative points, I find them sort of helpful. That way during office hours, I can say "you should avoid this and be strong when going through the itemized budget".

Shaz: Right now we are going through which items we want to take it off. We will not going into discussion.

Tony: Can I take out JSU?

Max: I would like propose to restore the original amounts funded to KASA and NSBE because we cut them out due to the budget.

Shaz: We will remove KASA and CSA.

Corbin: KASA looks like it will be a big event that will serve a lot of people.

Shaz: At this time, all 6 were pulled, there will be a discussion.

# **Reports**

Max: We have committee breakouts today late. I will have my monthly report posted in a couple days. Really quickly about the outreach committee, we are going to talk about large concert subcommittees. There is a lot of structure. If any students want to do funding, publicity, come check it out. I just hired my 6 outreach coordinators and they are some pretty awesome people. I'm very excited to working with them.

Tony: This past weekend I went to UCSA meeting. We elected the new labor liaison, Ivan. We discussed our ASCFME resolution. We passed that resolution. We had a presentation from CSSA. We talked about our budget and our preliminary budget. We talked about our campaigns we are working on. We have myself as the undergrad vice chair; bimonthly calls by the composed of CMED and Fossil Free. We passed bylaw change to the UCSA; every EVP and EVC automatic for UCSA conferences. We also changed our SLC date, April 5<sup>th</sup> to April 7<sup>th</sup>. Right now, we are currently having our week of action. Yesterday, we tabled at the quarry. We have approximately 100 cards signed. Thank you for everyone to coming to that.

Shaz: Filipino Student Association is tabling at the Quarry plaza for the Typhoon. They are accepting donations and support. This was 3 weeks after the earthquake. The size of the storm is the size of Germany. Projected total deaths were 10,000. Currently, there is over 4 mil homeless. Donate to NAFCON (National Alliance for Filipino Concerns). They'll have info at the tables. Spread awareness. There will be a community gathering at Oakes Learning Center next Monday 7PM. My next announcement is that on January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2014, UCSC is Smoking Tobacco Free.

Ivan: For UCSA, currently I'm the person leading the IGNITE campaign. We talked about extending pass for student organizers, outreach to those folks. We are also thinking of conversation translating some of the pamphlets to make it accessible to students and parents. How do we target folks that are affected by the policy changes and how in turn can they get information? Yesterday, I was thinking about ways how I can structure my caucus space. I was You can talk to me later about it. We had SoCC, it went great. We had a debriefing which was very informative. I will talk more about the announcements. I was appointed a labor liaison; I work closer with ASCFME and UAW. Tutors for learning support services; they should be under UAW. I'm interested in seeing if folks want to structure conversations for unions. Also, we are having a strike. The strike will be happening because by unfair labor practices. They have been harassed and threatened. It's not because of the contract bargaining sessions. It's about the unfair

labor practices that are going on in this system. Please let folks know that, the messaging is important. If you are going to be participating, I will be around being the peacekeeper. As students, we want solidarity; we want to make the importance of the strike be the importance of strike. As a solidarity worker, I want to make sure the strike is highlighted.

# Announcements

Ivan: SOCC, we had a debriefing and it was very informative. There were a lot of discussions about logistics. Some of those, I want to highlight one of them. How is SUA talking about the conference? A lot of members have attended. I will be having a delegate meeting. I wanted to make a doodle but I need to have a suggestion, I'm thinking of a Saturday, a one day thing. Folks that did want to talk about it and the importance of it and why we have a SoCC, taking into consideration about diversity on this campus, we will be having those delegation meetings. For the resolutions, when I pass resolutions, I'm not saying lets hold ourselves accountable. I want to follow through with them. I'm expecting on this board, it would be someone in your college senate. If you can bring that to your colleges, you can hold yourself accountable for that, the resolutions are online. Look over them once again; expect to be hearing from us again. I want to take them critically. I want the board to be voting on resolutions and will do not doing anything about them. I don't feel strongly about symbolic resolutions, we need to start doing work for these resolutions.

Tony: To echo what was said, both resolutions were voting unanimously, so that means when we have our weeks of action, I do expect someone from your college to be a liaison. That's kind of the example, those campaigns will never win. If we have support for people in here, if someone from each college or org can talk to be after the meeting so you can sign up for the actions.

Justin: Going off of what Ivan said; are the two conferences over the summer being presented? Since we are in the 8<sup>th</sup> week, it should be presented ASAP. I mean specifically the campaigns and the aspects of the campaigns and the students on campus.

Vanessa: I'm in a class called conservation biology, I'll post on FB, and it's a survey. Can you please respond as much as possible with whatever your opinion is so I can collect data?

Ivan: Why the campaigns have not been presented, I've been busy. We have hired our historian and worked with the projects. I'm trying to make it interactive as possible. I and Angelica have been working. Put a portion of what is being done after us. We both are art majors, how we can introduce our campaigns in a way where you can bring back to your organizations. That is where you working on.

Max: This Student committee on committees, C4 this Friday, 5:30-7:30PM. Bring your Tupperware.

Ivette: College 8 is having an event from 4-6, thankful thinking. It on Friday from 4-6PM.

Tony: Quickly follow up about the campaigns, if you do remember, I made a long discussion of all the campaigns, every meeting since, it has not changed. I asked people to come to me after, if you want to get involved, come see me after.

David: Stevenson and Oakes are working together for a toy drive. It's a collection center, under student life office, get back to your colleges and talk to me if you have any questions.

Ivan: I went to C8 senate, I loved it, inspired me. I really like how they were organizing; I can't wait to see all of your college senates as well.

Lila: Plug for one committee; I'm committee vice chair of committee on committees. I believe there a committee that people would want to be on: Student Union Assembly Chair Advisory council. Rep from each college, question about the bylaws or constitution, you'd be the defining body, SUA constitution better. If you are interested, you should apply.

Shaz: I created that committee, I get questions about the constitution as the chair, I created a committee to be a chief justice, hoping to get one rep from each college. If we do have questions and I want to be 100% fair to this body. It's another way to be impartial.

Steven: C10, giving festival Friday 22 from 4:30 to 6:30PM event. We will have several orgs tabling. Other groups will table.

# United States Student Association Presentation (Max and Chirag)

Link: <u>http://prezi.com/8xqjg9clmvrn/?utm\_campaign=share&utm\_medium=copy</u>

# Consideration of Resolution in Support of Drop the "I-Word" Campaign

Art: We can minimize these actions. The other therefore be it resolved, this is language, as a body, we can move forward and be successful. We will call the chair to communicate, the other one is that we will encourage staff to be, provide programs and courses to staff and faculty to participate in classes. I would hope this publication would stop the use the.

Shaz: Just to speak, it is appropriate to include the chair. I think it's absolutely fair. We will jump into general discussion.

Alfonso: If it is institutionalized, it would more appropriate to say the chair.

Priscila: Is there something on the bylaws.

Shaz: It states that the resolutions have to be considered the same academic year as it is introduced.

Ivan: I think through association of past years, I think that it is also to look previous, we supported undocumented resolution. That's how resolutions have been working. I would want to someone that entertain a motion to have it so that it is institutionalized to drop the I word.

Corbin: Crown was for the mostly confused about the language because it was unclear at times. What exactly is the bill supposed to prevent? What are the plans?

Alfonso: So to make something that is not an academic year, what kind of amendments would that have?

Shaz: We would have to amend the bylaws that would be; it should be in the governing documents.

Alfonso: I would really like to see something more permanent.

Art: This resolution's main effect is to drop the "I" in reference to people. It's not only derogatory and dehumanizing, it leads to perceives to people that are undocumented.

Ivan: One of things that, the idea where illegal came from. Semantic value, if you undocumented, therefore you are illegal. Media sources say "these are illegal immigrants" and it provides semantic value which provides a really wide stroke. That is the tangible evidence. I think moving forward, I have been thinking about this. Possibly to work with the academic affairs which professors have not taken the training? 30% of our faculties have taken it, what does the SUA want to do with that? Do we want to demand that admin or folks to take it? We have an ethnicity requirement that students take whereas the professors aren't taking it. We are a political student governing voice. There are undocumented students on our campus. We want to say through this resolution; we really find it problematic for folks that use these terms. The word illegal is used as a criminalizing aspect. I'm a citizen of the country but I'm always told I am illegal. Its identifying those resources, we are looking at these critically. It is clear to me of what it is trying to do semantically. It's trying to move forward.

Shadin: Co-chair for ASU, miscommunication, we felt like it deeply resonated with our African community. Not censoring language, recognize that in curriculum, it silences people. I think it's pretty self-explanatory. It is supposed to be inviting, I would urge people to think of it that way. It's a silencing term and it doesn't make me feel safe in the classroom.

Corbin: Crown was a little bit uncomfortable. It's productive to have language there because it really is something hurtful. It was brought up, if it was say we could not use it as official documents, if it was added that were not allowed to use it in official writings in student government.

Art: AB540 was approved; grants undocumented students had certain amount of years allowed to students to pay in state tuition. Another was passed for the access to state financial aid. They are not provided federal financial aid. Our state is moving forward. Hopefully it expands to the whole California. Our words are now terms, we should be mindful and aware.

Charlsie: I just want to clarify to the diversity course. A lot faculty takes it, not many professors take it. Only 6 sign up for the program. They are the ones that talk about the importance parts of your major. Some people feel extremely uncomfortable in class.

Chris: I just wanted to in relation to semantic value, we originally in our own bylaws to remove provocative language, words like illegal are intentionally submissive. You are able to reduce them by any means because you delegitimizes. This is something we really need to be behind.

Tony: **Motion** to pass this resolution.

## Max: Second.

Angelica: MEChA is overwhelmingly in support. It is important that we don't use terminology that alienates people.

Max: I just like that are good sources, I really appreciate good sources.

Alfonso: In relation to Crown's questions, I think the use of "I" word in use to identifying, I think it's more so to identify people. Somebody correct me if I'm wrong.

Priscila: I think it is important mentioning Napolitano considering she is instrumental to deporting people. Important to concerns students have. I would like to add that she has responded by giving 5 million in resources as well.

Sam: To me, it was unnecessary politicization; it does not represent a substantive clause. I think it's ill advised to have a clause to have implicitly include her in.

Vanessa: I **reserve my right to make a motion** to amend the 11<sup>th</sup> whereas clause. I completely agree with that you are saying. If you would like to change it, I'd be open to change it because it is very political.

Shaz: There is a motion on the floor to just pass the resolution. Since that motion is not specific, there won't be a second. We are still going into discussion. Be specific when you motion.

Corbin: The question was answered. It was not about the word illegal in other context. Our concerns with Napolitano, it was relatively political, it was complete without it basically.

Shadin: I feel like it is important to recognize that it is not wrong to politicize. It is important to know why she was mentioned. The people who wrote this resolution, we recognize that she has given money. It's important to recognize. At the SoCC, there was an awesome workshop, as to

people are not happy with her appointment. It's important to be respectful and not be afraid to be political, let's not be afraid of being that.

Nadia: There was a video shown that was a film explaining why she wasn't able to go to the conference. People were booing. It was a very passionate reaction. It was part of the SC delegation as well. It's not her stance that people are just humming, people have a very strong reaction to. It's something that students are very passionate about. It's political for a reason.

## Tony: Yield.

Ivan: I think that draws of attention about a semantic language. It is an example that some of the students on this campus feels. It is not saying Janet is a harbor of all that is wrong.. If it is happening, why is it not saying that? We are not acknowledging this clause. It is very problematic to get rid of this clause.

Shaz: We have one minute.

Sam: Motion to extend time.

Tony: Second.

## Ivan: **Objection.**

Vote: 18 yes, 11 no, 1 abstention. Motion passes, time extended.

Sam: It is critical that the assembly that each clause of the resolution is directly related to the issue. It is only implied and not explicit. It's out of place. Nobody here is in contention that Janet has step on toes. It is simply a question o it's relevant. I ask people to look at that clause.

Charlsie: It is important to keep this resolution the way it is; the people are affected by Janet's appointment. Just considering the campus climate, this is an extremely important for a lot of people and it needs to be passed the way it is.

Nabeil: Is it possible to vote on it? There is a motion? Can I call to question?

## Tony: Call to question.

## Nabeil: Second.

## Max: **Objection.**

Voting to vote: 26 yes, 2, no, 3 abstentions. Motion passes, voting will occur.

Vote: **30 yes**, 0 no, 2 abstentions. **Motion passes, resolution passes.** 

# Consideration of Sponsorship Request for Bone Marrow Drive

Shaz: We will go into general discussion.

Max: It is a really good idea with the funding. I remember the main concern was that they need to get it soon. We should fund at least the sign. I also know that they are asking their entire budget, but we should at least take care of the banner.

Ivan: I don't disagree but I don't agree. It didn't seem sound to have another banner. I was skeptical why one banner wasn't sufficient. There is a loose car system, the campus loops around. It was one of my skepticisms. I would suggest funding half the banner and maybe look at other items. It'll help in a wider sense. There is already a banner that they do have. I'm taking note of their inventory and making a suggestion.

Vanessa: Just as a note, they have been going to college governments and they will continue doing so.

Edmond: I really like this organization and we should give them a good amount of money. This is an org that saves lives. I do think that funding them a good portion of what they are asking for is a good idea and acceptable. The super hero movie is the last thing and they said they won't do it if it's not funded.

Sam: \$2,600 is not a lot of money to save 5 people. This is a small amount to save lives. It would show our good faith for a noble cause.

Max: I like to share a story. I got swabbed and it was a cool experience. During this summer, we had one of our own delegates that got swabbed, she got a phone call, and she missed a portion of the conference. Because she went to the Redroom that day, somebody's life was to be saved. I was there, and it hit home for me.

Vanessa: I motion to fund in general.

## Sam: Second.

Shaz: Any objections? Motion passes, the drive will be funded.

Shaz: There are different line items that we can pull funding from. There is the UCSA retreat \$1391.19, summer officer retreat \$1,600, and USSA congress meeting \$2,040.78.

Tony: I would like to ask to take consideration the retreat and congress because I want to use the line items for LegCon.

Max: I think it's a good idea to use officer retreat. It's a good transparency thing. It's to show officers did not spend money on a retreat and it's being used for a good cause.

Art: Point of clarification, where did you get the money to fund the treat?

Shaz: I worked with the Dean of Students and we received funding from the office.

Max: Motion to fund \$1,185 which everything except t-shirts and super hero movie.

Max: Second.

Tony: Point of clarification, where is the money coming from?

Shaz: The officer retreat line item.

Ivan: **Friendly amendment**, can we choose to fund with the stipulation that the money will be used to fund everything but t-shirts and movie? It is just to cement where the money is going to.

Max: Friendly.

Priscila: Yield.

Justin: Was there any discussion about SUA on the items?

Shaz: SUA will be on them.

Max: The presenter expressed that SUA would be on all their things.

Shaz: Any discussion? This funding comes out of the officer retreat \$1,600 line item. Are there any objections? **Motion passes;** the Bone Marrow Drive is funded \$1,185 w/ the stipulation that the money is used for everything but t-shirts and a movie.

# Funding Request Discussion

Shaz: All 6 were brought up to the discussion. That is a recommendation that the body should take.

Alfonso: I **reserve my right to make a motion**. I **motion** for ABC to add \$2,000 to their funding amount.

## Sam: Second.

Max: Although I really support the ABC conference, we did plan to add to allocate \$400 to KASA and NSBE a total of \$2,000. We cut that funding because we thought we didn't have enough.

Shaz: That is correct, I said we would have unlimited amount when we deliberate. We ended up reducing the amount due to the lack of money.

Rachel: Yield.

Corbin: Yield.

Ivan: **Clarification**, I know we've been talking about funding proposals. I sat on SOFA last year and one of things that were stated last year was that we would look at the funding budget for each org separately. I think it is unfair to look at multiple events at the same time, even though it's effective to our budget, it's not fair to the organization and it is fiscally irresponsible.

Shaz: When we convene SOFA, we were clear that we were on neutrality. It was based off neutrality.

Sam: In response Max's, I think KASA and NSBE should be remained the same. NSBE's would be paying for their enlistment and funding KASA shows solidarity that we support them but their event is later in the year.

Shaz: We did have a small brief summary from the treasurer, if SOFA reps could talk about why we funded each org a certain amount.

Shadin: I don't really know the rules, let's pull ABC.

Shaz: A point in our bylaws, SOFA is only to make recommendations; they can say they just pull it off. It would have been passed by the assembly. SOFA officially made recommendations. Since I had to come back to the body and I told them we had \$2,000 less because we decreased the funding. I think that is the consensus that is thrown out there.

Ivan: **Point of order**, are we discussing the motion on the floor?

Shaz: Thank you for that, it is relative to the discussion.

Alfonso: Is there still more funding that SOFA can give to the funding request?

Shaz: If we give \$2,000, we would have \$68 left.

Shaz: We can look at our line items, but we won't be able to pull from winter or spring.

Ivan: Relevant to the discussion, what the percentage of the funding proposal is to the cost of the actual event. I think it's minimal compared to other costs. I think funding \$9,000 would be efficient for the conference's longevity. If you take into consideration last week, there are a lot of concerns about diversity. I think it is efficient to fully fund the proposal.

Rachel: Was the proposal itemized?

Shaz: We didn't pick out specific line items. We just gave a general number. **Motion** has been amended. There is no more time left.

Edmond: I would like to see money go to the JSU.

Alfonso: Not friendly.

Shaz: Motion is to fund the ABC conference \$8,488, KASA \$400, and NSBE \$2,000.

Vote: 28 yes, 2 no, 2 abstentions. Motion passes, ABC is funded \$8,400, KASA \$400, and NSBE \$2,000.

Ivan: I highly recommend that when we move forward, we stay neutral. We just made a motion that isn't neutral.

Shaz: I'm going to see if this is within university policy.

Shaz: No one made the motion to extend time before it ended.

Shaz: It would bring us off into general discussion; it is going to come back to us last week.

Charlsie: Why did time expire if it's at 10:30?

Shaz: You are right, we will go into discussion.

Tony: I **reserve my right to make a motion**. I would like to see why JSU was only funded \$750.

Shaz: I would default to the treasurer as to why JSU was funded \$750.

Archie: The main reason was the singer. The thing where they said he was going to sing for two hours, most of their budget would go to that.

Tony: That being said, **motion** to fund the remaining the \$68.

Max: There is no more \$68.

Tony: I **rescind** my motion.

Shaz: We can always look at line items from other budgets.

Maria: How much do we have left over from the budget?

Max: There are \$2,068 dollars left. There was \$380 that went to NSBE. It's a total of \$2,068.

Maria: I misunderstood the original numbers, thank you.

Max: No worries.

Art: Parliamentary inquiry, are we allowed to reconsider a motion that was approved?

Shaz: Yes.

Nabeil: Can I **motion** to take out \$250 out of \$415 from SUA retreat to move it to JSU to have their total of \$1,000.

Max: Second.

Ivan: **Objection**. I think it is fiscally irresponsible to take money from that line item.

Tony: I just want to point out that we just did take out money out from the line item for the Bone Marrow.

Shaz: The motion was to fund \$250 to make the JSU total to \$1,000.

Vote: 24 yes, 2 no, 6 abstentions. Motion passes, JSU is funded \$1,000.

Shaz: We will now have general discussion for CSA and Scientific Slugs.

Nabeil: What happens if I reconsider my vote for the ABC and NSBE?

Shaz: You would make a motion to reconsider. It could be 2/3. You didn't reserve your right.

Shaz: Any more discussion for CSA and SS?

Rachel: If their total was \$9,000, why is there funding amount so low?

Max: I feel like they are going to get a good chunk from CEP and colleges.

Max: Point of clarification, they asked Porter and only Porter. Also, their event is in March.

Corbin: Crown was really enthusiastic about SS. It is difficult for science majors to get in contact w/ groups that they can get work with. I would love to see more money go to Scientific Slugs. It was one of those highlighted by Crown.

Max: They are creating an online archive. We felt like they didn't need to print out that many papers. And they didn't directly response to our sustainability issue.

Shaz: We can go into a motion to approve to increase.

Rachel: Motion to approve recommended amount for CSA.

Greg: Second.

Corbin: **Objection**, I request for a roll call.

Vote: 22 yes, 10 abstentions. Motion passes, CSA is funded.

Max: Motion to extend time by 15 minutes, considering that we are 5 minutes over.

Shaz: The five minutes was because of the break.

#### Alexandra: Second.

Shaz: Any objections? We have 15 minutes.

Max: Motion to fund Scientific Slugs \$750.

Rachel: Second.

Corbin: **Objection,** would it possible for me to make an amendment? I would like to amend to add \$250 from the officer retreat line item.

Max: There is \$165 left.

Max: Friendly.

Rachel: Second.

Shaz: The motion is to use the remaining amount from officer retreat. Are there any objections?

Priscila: Can we recap why they were only funded \$750?

Archie: They didn't request funding from other places and we felt they were too dependent on the SUA budget so we wanted to give them a chance.

Shaz: Are there any objections?

Steven: **Objection**, I think we should only spend RSO funding.

Vote: 18 yes, 1 no, 11 abstentions. Motion passes, Scientific Slug is funded.

\*\*Naked Run passes through the outside dining hall area\*\*

Ivan: **Point of personal privilege**, please don't be taking pictures and videos because it is objectification of folks bodies.

Shaz: That is a valid point of personal privilege to the assembly.

Max: Yield.

# Committee Breakout

Max: Outreach and student life, sentinel room, discussing UCSC got talent, Slug Shuttle, and spring concert.

Tony: Lobby corps, next to the couches, few upcoming events. Introduce the interns.

Charlsie: Diversity committee, how to integrate students with what we learned from SOCC.

Ivan: Also working with CoD, discussing IGNITE campaign.

Vanessa: Academic affairs, projects we are working on, class survey.

Shaz: Breakout will be for 20 minutes.

# Committee Breakout Reportbacks

Max: For time's sake, I'll take it over. Outreach and Student Life talked a lot about interns and the Slug Shuttle referenda coming up. It was pretty cool. We also talked about concert structure. We also talked about UCSC Got talent.

Ivan: What we talked about Student of Color Conference. A lot of folks wanted SUA to give report backs as to experiences at SoCC. Last year, people had criticisms of the conference, our delegation wanted to see how people felt now if people changed perspective about the conference. Next year hopefully, SoCC is made institutionalized. We could propose to bring. Talked about IGNITE. Had RA's, orgs, and reps be reached out to? We have art students, poet students, and music. Conversations about IGNITE. For example, RA's have residents, ask them hey come through and maybe the residents would come through.

Louise: Lobby Corp met today, talked about how we are going to move forward next quarter. Big lobby event on January 15<sup>th</sup>. People will share stories and how to talk to legislators at the local, state, or national level. Start planning for our teach-ins. USSA in January. We talked about the intern positions, campaigns.

Vanessa: Class survey, how hard is it to get the classes you need. Classes you need to graduate on time. We can help to aid students on the right path to graduation. We talked about the library referenda. We talked about more exposure of online resources and library. More than a couple students don't know that those are available. That's about it.

Shaz: Are there any questions for the committees. I have a few announcements. No meeting next week because I realize many people will be leaving. Next meeting is December 3<sup>rd</sup>. I sent examples of monthly reports. That should be sent to the OD and CC the chair on it, if you have

any questions about that. You can ask me via e-mail or text or Facebook, any questions you have.

Tony: What I said at the beginning, I want at least one person from every college and org to talk about Thursday's week of action

Shaz: Is there a motion to adjourn?

Alfonso: **Motion** to adjourn.

Max: Second.

Adjournment at 11:19PM